

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,643		12/12/2001	Thomas C. Terwilliger	S-96,583	7287	
35068	7590	08/25/2004		EXAMINER		
		CALIFORNIA	D.Y.			
LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY P.O. BOX 1663, MS A187			PK Y	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ALAM					*	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X x

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/017,643	TERWILLIGER, THOMAS C.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ardin Marschel	1631		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>04 June 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1.	M		e brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper ading or in the proper order.
2.			e brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the pealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.			east one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a tement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.	\boxtimes		e brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The	brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A s	ingle ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)		the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)		the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The	brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.		The	brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.		Oth	er (including any explanation in support of the above items):

Item # 1: An appeal in copending serial no. 09/512,962 contains related issues.

Item # 4: Several significant limitations in the claims under appeal were not referred to in the specification regarding page and line numbers. For example, in lines 4-5, of the SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION section the cited page 7, lines 5-8, does not describe a set of structure factors. In lines 8-10 of said section page 7, lines 16-28, is cited for prob. dist. as claimed, however, this section states this as a "log" liklehood which is not a limitation in claim 1. Also said page 7, lines 16-28, does not cite repeating practice which is present in claim 1. Page 8, lines 10-16, cited in said section lacks description of the "all of the plurality" limitation as in step (h), nor the step (k) limitation. Claims 3-5 also not described as to page and line number in said section.

Ali Hilland Yayet